**Photolysis** 

Sasha Madronich National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado USA

3 August 2015





## Photochemistry

Energy input from sunlight, e.g.

 $O_2 + hv (\lambda < 242 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O + O$ 

 $O + O_2 \rightarrow O_3$  (ozone formation)

 $O_3 + hv (\lambda < 330 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O_2 + O^*$ 

 $O^* + H_2O \rightarrow OH + OH$  (hydroxyl radical formation)

Photon

#### **Some Important Photolysis Reactions**

 $O_2 + hv (\lambda < 240 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O + O$ source of  $O_3$  in stratosphere  $O_3 + hv (\lambda < 340 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D)$ source of OH in troposphere  $NO_2 + hv (\lambda < 420 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow NO + O(^3P)$ source of  $O_3$  in troposphere  $CH_2O + hv (\lambda < 330 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow H + HCO$ source of HOx, everywhere  $H_2O_2 + h\nu (\lambda < 360 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow OH + OH$ source of OH in remote atm. HONO + hv ( $\lambda$  < 400 nm)  $\rightarrow$  OH + NO source of radicals in urban atm.

#### **Quantifying Photolysis Processes**



Photolysis frequency (s<sup>-1</sup>)  $J = \int_{\lambda} F(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda$ 

(other names: photo-dissociation rate coefficient, J-value)

 $J(s^{-1}) = \int_{\lambda} F(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda$ 

 $F(\lambda)$  = spectral actinic flux, quanta cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-1</sup>  $\propto$  probability of photon near molecule.

 $\sigma(\lambda)$  = absorption cross section, cm<sup>2</sup> molec<sup>-1</sup>  $\propto$  probability that photon is absorbed.

 $\phi(\lambda)$  = photodissociation quantum yield, molec quanta<sup>-1</sup>  $\propto$  probability that absorbed photon causes dissociation.

#### Calculation of J for $CH_2O + h_V \rightarrow CHO + H$



#### Measurement of Absorption Cross Section $\sigma(\lambda)$



Easy: measure pressure (n = P/RT), and relative change in light:  $I/I_o$ 

#### Absorption cross sections $\sigma(\lambda, T)$



Absorption cross sections of formaldehyde CH<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature (results 1990-2003)





Absorption cross sections of nitrogen dioxide  $NO_2$  at 294 K Results from the year 1998 and JPL-2006 recommendation

#### Measurement of Quantum Yields $\phi(\lambda)$



Difficult: must measure absolute change in *n* (products) and *I* (photons absorbed)

#### **Photo-dissociation Quantum Yields** $\phi(\lambda, T, P)$



#### **Compilations of Cross Sections & Quantum Yields**

#### http://www.atmosphere.mpg.de/enid/2295



#### MPI-Mainz-UV-VIS Spectral Atlas of Gaseous Molecules

A Database of Atmospherically Relevant Species, Including Numerical Data and Graphical Representations

Hannelore Keller-Rudek, Geert K. Moortgat Max-Planck-Institut für Chemie, Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Mainz, Germany

#### http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov/



#### **Solar Spectrum**





#### **INTEGRALS OVER INCIDENT DIRECTIONS**



# **Optical Depth**



## **Absorption and Scattering**

• Absorption – inelastic, loss of radiant energy:



 Scattering – elastic, radiant energy is conserved, direction changes:



### **SCATTERING PHASE FUNCTIONS**



#### Multiple Atmospheric Layers Each Assumed to be Homogeneous



Each layer described by 3 parameters:

Optical depth,  $\Delta \tau$ Single scattering albedo,  $\omega_o = \text{scatt./(scatt.+abs.)}$ Asymmetry factor, g: forward fraction ~ (1+g)/2

#### **Typical Values**

	Optical Depth	Single Scattering Albedo	Asymmetry Factor
Molecular scattering (Rayleigh)	0.5 – 2.0 λ <sup>-4</sup>	1	0
Molecular absorption $O_2$ , $O_3$ , $NO_2$ , $SO_2$ ,	0 – 30 spectra	0	na
Aerosols	0.01 – 5 $\lambda^{-\alpha}$ , $\alpha$ = 0.5 – 2.0 (Angstrom exponent)	0.99 sulfate 0.6 soot	0.6 – 0.8
Clouds	$1 - 1000$ white, $\alpha = 0$	0.9999	0.7 – 0.9

Radiative Transfer Equation



Equivalent coordinates: optical or geometric  $d\tau = \sigma n dz$  Scattering from diffuse light (multiple scattering)



#### NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS TO RADIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION

#### • Discrete ordinates

n-streams (n = even), angular distribution exact as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  but speed  $\propto 1/n^2$ 

#### Two-stream family

delta-Eddington, many others very fast but not exact

Monte Carlo

slow, but ideal for 3D problems

#### • Others

matrix operator, Feautrier, adding-doubling, successive orders, etc.

### $J \text{ for } NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O$



#### Aerosols Can Attenuate Urban Actinic Flux → Slower Photochemistry



Madronich, Shetter, Halls, Lefer, AGU'07

### Vertical Profile Is Sensitive to Single Scattering Albedo

Mexico City suburbs (T1) March 2006

Altitude (km)

Central panel: Model with observed ssa, and obs.

Upper and lower panels: Sensitivity to ssa



Palancar et al., 2013

### EFFECT OF UNIFORM CLOUDS ON ACTINIC FLUX

#### 340 nm, sza = 0 deg., cloud between 4 and 6 km



Actinic flux, quanta cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

#### **Broken Clouds**



#### PARTIAL CLOUD COVER enhancements and reductions



Crafword et al., 2003

### **Photochemistry Inside Liquid Particles**



#### **Photolysis in WRF-Chem**

• Several radiative transfer options:

TUV (delta-Eddington, 140  $\lambda$ 's) – major update soon Fast-J (8-str Feautrier, 17  $\lambda$ 's) Fast-TUV (delta-Eddington, 17  $\lambda$ 's, correction table) Other? – faster, more accurate

Sub-grid cloud overlap schemes

Max overlap if vertically contiguous, random otherwise Effects of overlap schemes on vertical distribution of actinic flux Need evaluation of WRF-Chem in the presence of clouds

#### • Aerosols:

Mixing rules for index of refraction Mie scattering integrated over size distributions Different core-shell options

### OUTLINE

- role of photolysis
- j vals
- xsects & qys
- radiation
- aerosols
- clouds
- wrf-chem

#### INSIDE CLOUDS: Photon Path Enhancements

Cumulonimbus, od=400 0.07Without absorption 0.06Probability density With absorption ۵ 0.050.040.03 0.02 0.010.00 1020 30 50 4060 7080 90100Pathlength enhancement

Mayer et al., 1998 Photochemistry in clouds can be stronger than outside clouds

### Enhancements Possible with Broken Clouds bimodal distribution



#### **SPECTRALLY INTEGRATED RADIATION**

- > Radiometry Signal (W m<sup>-2</sup>) =  $\int_{\lambda} E(\lambda) R(\lambda) d\lambda$
- ➢ Biological effects
  Dose rate (W m<sup>-2</sup>) = ∫<sub>λ</sub> E(λ) B(λ) dλ
- > Photo-dissociation of atmospheric chemicals Photolysis frequency (s<sup>-1</sup>) =  $\int_{\lambda} F(\lambda) \sigma(\lambda) \phi(\lambda) d\lambda$

#### Diffuse Skylight vs. Direct Solar Beam (at sea level)



### Solid Angle (units = steradians, sr)

Solid Angle = area of patch on unit sphere (R = 1)

e.g.: hemisphere =  $2\pi$  sr full sphere =  $4\pi$  sr Sun (seen from Earth)  $\approx 7x10^{-5}$  sr





 $\theta$  = zenith angle = Angle from vertical axis

 $\phi$  = azimuth angle = angle in horizontal plane, from a reference direction, usually North



### Spectral Radiance, I



## **Definition of Optical Depth**

 $\frac{dI}{dz} = -\sigma n I$ (integral form)  $I(z_2) = I(z_1) \exp \left[-\sigma n (z_2 - z_1)\right]$ 

Beer-Lambert Law:  $I(z_2) = I(z_1) \exp \left[-\sigma n (z_2 - z_1)\right]$ 

If  $\sigma$  and/or *n* depend on *z*, then

$$\tau = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sigma(z) n(z) \,\mathrm{d}\, z$$

Optical depth:  $\tau = \sigma n (z_2 - z_1)$ 

### Lambertian (isotropic) Reflection (e.g. approximately true for snow)



Limit for overhead sun, A = 1,  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ :

 $E^{\uparrow} = E_{\downarrow}$  (conservation of energy), but  $F^{\uparrow} = 2F_{\downarrow}$  (not conserved)

## **Mie Scattering Theory**

For spherical particles, given:

Complex index of refraction: n = m + ikSize parameter:  $\alpha = 2\pi r / \lambda$ 

Can compute:

Extinction efficiency  $Q_{\rm e}(\alpha, n) \propto \pi r^2$ 

Scattering efficiency

Phase function or asymmetry factor  $P(\Theta, \alpha, n)$  $g(\alpha, n)$ 

 $Q_{\rm s}(\alpha,n) = {\rm x} \, \pi r^2$ 

## **Extinction Efficiency**, **Q**<sub>ext</sub>



### **EFFECT OF CLOUDS (UNIFORM LAYER)**

- Above cloud: high radiation because of reflection
- Below cloud: lower radiation because of attenuation by cloud
- Inside cloud: complicated behavior

   Top half: very high values (for high sun)
   Bottom half: lower values

SIMPLE  
2-STREAM  
METHOD:  
3 Equations  
for each layer  

$$F_{\downarrow}(k) = F_{\downarrow}(k+1)e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}} + f\omega_{o}F_{o}(k+1)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + f\omega_{o}F_{\downarrow}(k+1)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + (1-f)\omega_{o}F_{\uparrow}(k)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + (1-f)\omega_{o}F_{\uparrow}(k)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + (1-f)\omega_{o}F_{\uparrow}(k)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + (1-f)\omega_{o}F_{\downarrow}(k)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + f\omega_{o}F_{\downarrow}(k)(1-e^{-\Delta \tau/\cos\theta^{*}}) + f\omega_{o}F_{\downarrow}(k)(1-e^{-$$

at bottom (k = 1):  $F \uparrow (1) = A[F_o(1) + F \downarrow (1)]$ 



solve rt eq in each layer, get boundary values:



surface, overhead sun

